

Imagine better health.[™]

Clostridium Difficile Infection

March 23, 2017

Objectives

- Pharmacists:
 - Discuss epidemiology and pathogenesis of C. difficile infection
 - Describe *C. difficile* prevention strategies
 - Evaluate the diagnosis of *C. difficile* (utilize CDI decision tree)
 - Recommend management strategies for initial and recurrent episodes of *C. difficile* infection
- Technicians:
 - Discuss epidemiology and pathogenesis of C. difficile infection
 - Describe *C. difficile* prevention strategies
 - List the principles of *C. difficile* infection management



Estimated Annual US Burden



- 453,000 CDI cases
 - 293,000 healthcare associated
 - 160,000 communityassociated
 - 82% associated with outpatient healthcare exposure
- 29,000 death
- \$4.8 billion in excess health care costs

CHI Memorial /

Lessa et al. N Engl J Med 2015; 372(9):825-834 Dubberke et al. Clin Infect Dis 2012; 55:S88-92

Pathogenesis of CDI

1. CDI spores survive in the environment for long periods of time. Following ingestion, they traverse the acidic environment of the stomach.

2. Spores germinate within the intestine.



3. Altered lower intestine flora (due to antimicrobial use) allows proliferation of *C. difficile* in colon.

> 4. Toxin A & B Production leads to colon damage +/- pseudomembrane.



CHI Memorial / Sunenshine & McDonald Cleve Clin J Med 2006; 73(2):187-197

DEADLY DIARRHEA: C. DIFFICILE CAUSES IMMENSE SUFFERING, DEATH

IMPACT



Epidemiology: Host Factors

- Advanced Age
 - Incidence higher among females, whites, and persons >65 y
 - Deaths more common in persons >65 y
- Underlying illness and medical history
- Immunosuppression
 - Inflammatory bowel disease
 - Immune-suppressive treatment
 - Hematological malignancy/stem cell transplant

Lessa et al. N Engl J Med 2015; 372(9):825-834 See et al. Clin Infect Dis 2014; 58(10):1394-1400 Bliss et al. Ann Intern Med 1998; 129:1012-1019 Kombuj et al. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2016; 37:8-15



Adapted from CDCs CDI Prevention Primer (3/16)

Epidemiology: Modifiable Risk Factors

- Exposure to C. difficile spores
 - Spores can remain viable for months
 - Contamination is increased in rooms of patients with active CDI
 - Hands of patients and personnel are easily contaminated
- Exposure to antibiotics and gastric acid suppression
 - High risk: fluoroquinolones, cephalosporins, clindamycin, Carbapenems
 - PPI use has been linked to increased CDI incidence

Pepin et al. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 41(9):1254-1260 Weber & Rutala Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2011;32:207-209 Dubberke et al. Am J Infect Control 2007;35:315-318 Linney et al. Can J Hosp Pharm 2010; 63(1):31-37



Adapted from CDCs CDI Prevention Primer (3/16)

Clostridium Difficile Rates



Clostridium Difficile Prevention

- Hand Hygiene
- Environmental Cleaning
- Antimicrobial Stewardship



Hand Hygiene

- Strict adherence to glove use is the most effective means of preventing hand contamination w/ C. difficile spores
- Spores are not killed by alcohol-based hand rub and may be difficult to remove even through hand washing



Adapted from CDCs CDI Prevention Primer (3/16)

Hand Hygiene Observations



Observations



Environmental Cleaning

- Meticulous cleaning reduces spores in environment
- Use sporicidal disinfectants

Technique	Reduction in Spores	Dry Time
Wiping with any disinfectant	> 2.9 log ₁₀	2-6 minutes
Spraying (no wipe) with sporicide	3.4 log ₁₀	28-40 minutes
Wiping with sporicide	3.9 log ₁₀	2-6 minutes

• Monitoring and feedback of cleaning performance

Rutala et al. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2012;33(12):1255-1258

Memorial / Gonzalez et al. Am J Infect Control 2015; 43:1331-1335

Adapted from CDCs CDI Prevention Primer (3/16)

Antimicrobial Stewardship

Host

- Advanced age
 - >65
- Underlying illness and medical history

Modifiable

- Exposure to *C. difficile* spores
- Exposure to antibiotics and gastric acid suppression

- Immunosuppression
 - Inflammatory bowel disease
 - Immune-suppressive treatment
 - Hematological malignancy/stem cell transplant

Duration, #, and intensity of abx affect CDI risk



Duration, #, and intensity of abx affect CDI risk



Antibiotics and CDI Risk

Very Commonly Related	Less Commonly Related	Uncommonly Related
Clindamycin Ampicillin Amoxicillin Cephalosporins Fluoroquinolones	Beta-lactam inhibitors Macrolides Carbapenems Tigecycline	Aminoglycosides Metronidazole Rifampin Tetracyclines Daptomycin Sulfonamides Trimethoprim



Microbiota disruption, antibiotics, C. difficile





Antibiotics and CDI Risk

Very Commonly Related	Less Commonly Related	Uncommonly Related	
Clindamycin Ampicillin Amoxicillin Cephalosporins Fluoroquinolones	Beta-lactam inhibitors Macrolides Carbapenems Tigecycline	Aminoglycosides Metronidazole Rifampin Tetracyclines Daptomycin Sulfonamides Trimethoprim	
 Due to activity against <i>C. difficile</i> Risk increases once the antibiotic is stopped 			



Principles of CDI Management

- 1. Stop all unnecessary antibiotics
- 2. Narrow the spectrum of antibiotic activity when possible
- 3. Shorten antibiotic courses
- 4. Stop acid suppressive medication when possible
- 5. Discontinue all anti-motility agents



CDI Treatment (IDSA 2010 Guidelines)

Clinical definition	Criteria	Treatment
Mild to moderate	Not meeting criteria for severe	Metronidazole 500mg PO TID for 10-14 days
Severe	WBC \ge 15 OR Cr \ge 1.5x baseline	Vancomycin 125 mg po q6h x 10-14 days
Severe, complicated	Hypotension, shock, ileus, and/or megacolon	Vancomycin 500 mg po/ng q6h + metronidazole 500 mg IV q8h



Metronidazole vs vancomycin

<u>Metronidazole</u>

- 95% absorbed, re-excreted into colon when inflamed
- *C. difficile* MIC₅₀=0.5mcg/mL MIC₉₀=2.0mcg/mL
- Stool concentration: 1.9-77.3 mcg/gm, 40% <10 mcg/gm, 30% <5 mcg/gm
- ADRs: Metallic taste, N/V, peripheral neuropathy with longterm exposure
- DDIs: Inhibitor of CYP2C9 & 3A4 (Coumadin)

<u>Vancomycin</u>

- Undetectable serum concentrations. Oral doses mostly excreted in feces
- *C. difficile* MIC₉₀=2.0mcg/mL
- Stool concentration: 357 mcg/gm
- ADRs: Abdominal pain, N/V
- DDIs: Cholestyramine/colestipol can bind PO vancomycin and reduce effectiveness

Metronidazole vs. vancomycin





Metronidazole Inferior for Non-Severe CDI

*P<0.001, T vs.M and T vs. V **P=0.020, M vs. V



Vancomycin superior to metronidazole on multivariable analysis, including controlling for clinical severity (p=0.013)

CDI Treatment

Clinical definition	Criteria	Treatment
Mild to moderate	Not meeting criteria for severe	Metronidazole 500mg PO TID for 10-14 days
Severe	WBC \ge 15 OR Cr \ge 1.5x baseline	Vancomycin 125 mg po q6h x 10-14 days
Severe, complicated	Hypotension, shock, ileus, and/or megacolon	Vancomycin 500 mg po/ng q6h + metronidazole 500 mg IV q8h

- If metronidazole is inferior mild/moderate CDI, no need to select treatment based on severity
- Considerations: Risk of recurrence



Risk Factors Associated with CDI Recurrence

- Increased Age: >65
- Immunosuppression
- Concomitant antibiotics
- NAP1/BI/027 strain



Abrupt stop vs. taper or pulse of vancomycin



Abrupt

Taper

- Mean number of CDI episodes: 3 \pm 2.1 (range 1-14)
- Relative risk of relapse = 0.51 (95% CI, 0.29-0.90)

HI Memorial / McFarland LV, et al. Am J Gastroenterol. 2002;97:1769-75

Fidaxomicin for CDI

- FDA approved: 5/27/2011
- Narrow spectrum
 - Sparing of bacteroides spp., bifidobacterium, clostridium clusters IV and XIV
- Decrease in recurrences
 - Studies included patients with first and second CDI episodes
 - Intestinal dysbiosis?





Fidaxomicin vs. PO vancomycin

- Bottom line vs. vanco: Similar cure (~88%), <u>lower recurrence</u> (13-15% vs. 25-27%)
- Unclear role in multiply recurrent or severe disease: excluded these

	Cure	Relapse
Strain		
Epidemic	Same	Same
Non-epidemic	Same	\downarrow
Concomitant abx	1	\downarrow
Prior CDI	Same	=/↓

Fidaxomicin

\$2800



Louie TJ, et al. NEJM 2011;364:422-431; Cornely et al, Lancet Infect Dis 2012;12:281-8; Petrella LA, et al. Clin Infect Dis 2012;55(3):351-7; Mullane et al., CID 2011;53(5):440-7; Corneley et al., CID 2012;55:s154-s161.; Bartsch SM et al., CID 2013; 57(4): 555-561; Konijeti GG et al., CID 2014; 58:1507-1514.

Prevention of recurrence: FMT

Study	1 st dose	2 nd dose
Youngster (n=20)	70%	90%
Hirsch (n=19)	68%	89%
Orenstein (n=34)	52%	79%
Van Nood (n=16)	81%	94%
Lee (n=178)	62%	84%
Khanna (n=30)	87%	97%



Bezlotoxumab (Zinplava™)

Manufacturer: Merck and Co.

Date FDA Approved: 10/21/16

Class: Human monoclonal antibody

Indication: Reduction of CDI recurrence in patient ≥ 18 y.o., who are receiving antibacterial drug tx for CDI & are at high risk for recurrence



Bezlotoxumab

- 10mg/kg infusion x 1 dose at any time during CDI antibacterial therapy (no dose adjustments necessary)
 - Supplied as: 1,000/40mL solution (25mg/mL)
 - Diluted in NS or D5W with a final concentration 1mg/mL to 10mg/mL
- Infuse over 60 mins using a filter
- T¹/₂: 19 Days
 - Eliminated by protein catabolism: low DDI potential
- Low potential for immunogenicity



Bezlotoxumab: Pharmacology

- Human monoclonal antibody directed against C. difficile toxin B
- BEZLO enters gut lumen via paracellular transport
 - Blocks toxin binding to mucosal cells prevents gut wall damage



Lumen

- Long t¹/₂ allows sustained toxin neutralization
- Gut microbiota recovers



Toxin B

stemic

Bezlotoxumab

Bezlotoxumab: MOA



Bezlotoxumab prevents clinical manifestation of CDI during at-risk window and allows microbiota to recover, leading to clearance of *C. difficile*



MODIFY I and II

- Adult patients with confirmed CDI
 - Diarrhea (\geq 3 loose stools in \leq 24 hours) AND
 - Positive stool test for toxigenic *C. difficile*
 - Receiving SOC antibiotic therapy for CDI (10-14 day regimen)
 - Oral metronidazole
 - Oral vancomycin (± IV metronidazole)
 - Oral fidaxomicin (± IV metronidazole)



Efficacy: CDI Recurrence







Efficacy: Global Cure



Safety

• Adverse effects (reported in ≥ 4% of Bezlotoxumab-treated patients and at a greater frequency than placebo):

	Bezlotoxumab (N=786)	Placebo (N=781)
Nausea (%)	7	5
Pyrexia (%)	5	3
Headache (%)	4	3

- Serious adverse reactions:
 - Heart failure (2.3% bezlotoxumab vs. 1% placebo)
 - One discontinuation due to ventricular tachyarrhythmia 30 mins after start of infusion
 - Mortality rates (7.1% bezlotoxumab and 7.6% placebo)



Summary

- Decrease in CDI recurrence with the use of bezlotoxumab
- Concern for negative effect in clinical cure
- Global Cure only significant for one of the 2 trials and the significance in Modify II needs to be interpreted with caution
 - Non-significant result of acto + bezlo vs. placebo
- Safety: CHF (not fluid related) (Median observation: Day 30) Maybe immune-mediated – unclear mechanism
- Cost: Not yet available for purchase



IVIG in severe disease

- No RCTs
- Retrospective review of 14 patients who received IVIG at one institution
 - 6 refractory
 - 6 recurrent
 - 2 severe failing to respond to therapy
- Dose 150 to 400 mg/kg x 1-2
- 9 (64%) responded fully
 - Of these, 3 (33%) had subsequent recurrences



Additional antibiotics

- Data limited to uncontrolled case reports and series
- Nitazoxanide
- Rifaximin: High rates of recurrence, used as a "chaser"
- Tigecycline: Used in intractable disease



Probiotics

Diarrhea class	Probiotic	Placebo	OR
AAD	159/1470 (11%)	153/1471 (10%)	1.04 (0.83–1.32)
CDI	12/1470 (0.9%)	17/1471 (1.2%)	0.70 (0.34–1.48)

- No benefit for probiotic
- Very low rates of CDI in this population
- Majority of patients were receiving amoxicillin/ampicillin or second-generation cephalosoporins (UK study)
- Likely underpowered for the CDI outcome



Probiotics (Meta-analysis)

	Experim	nental	Control	rol		Risk ratio (95		Weight
	Events	Total	Events	Total				
Allen et al, 2013	12	1470	17	1471	_ - +		0.71 (0.34-1.47)	19.0%
Arvola et al, 1999	1	61	1	58			0.95 (0.06-14.85)	1.4%
Beausoleil et al, 2007	1	44	7	45			0.15 (0.02-1.14)	2.4%
Bravo et al, 2008	0	41	0	45			NE	
Can et al, 2006	0	73	2	78			0.21 (0.01-4.37)	1.1%
Duman et al, 2005	0	196	1	180			0-31 (0-01-7-47)	1.0%
Gao et al, 2010	9	171	20	84	_ - -		0.22 (0.11-0.46)	18.7%
Hickson et al, 2007	0	56	9	53	← − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −		0-05 (0-00-0-84)	1.3%
Kotowska et al, 2005	3	119	10	127	- _		0-32 (0-09-1-14)	6.4%
Lonnermark et al, 2005	1	80	0	83			3.11 (0.13-75.26)	1.0%
McFarland et al, 1995	3	97	4	96			0.74 (0.17-3.23)	4.8%
Miller et al, 2008	4	95	7	94			0.57 (0.17-1.87)	7-2%
Miller et al, 2008	2	157	0	159		→	5.06 (0.25-104.63)	1.1%
Plummer et al, 2004	2	69	5	69			0.40(0.08-1.99)	4.0%
Psaradellis et al, 2010	1	216	4	221			0.26 (0.03-2.27)	2.2%
Rafiq et al, 2007	5	45	22	55	- _		0.28 (0.11-0.67)	13.1%
Ruszczynski et al, 2008	3	120	7	120			0.43 (0.11-1.62)	5.8%
Safdar et al, 2008	0	23	1	17			0.25 (0.01-5.79)	1.0%
Selinger et al, 2011	0	62	0	62			NE	
Surawicz et al, 1989	3	116	5	64	- _+		0.33 (0.08-1.34)	5.3%
Thomas et al, 2001	2	133	3	134			0-67 (0-11-3-96)	3.3%
Total	52	3444	125	3315	◆		0-39(0-29-0-54)	100%
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2=0.00$; Test for overall effect: Z=	χ²=15∙08, d 5∙69 (p<0∙0	lf=18 (p=0 0001)	·66); I²=0%			100		
					Favours experimental Favours control			

CHI Memorial / Daneman N, Lancet 2013.

Secondary antibiotic prophylaxis for CDI

- Mixed data all retrospective data
- Van Hise et al.
 - N=203; Hx of CDI and meeting criteria as high risk; PO vanco
 - Absolute difference in rate of CDI within 1st 4 weeks following completion of abx therapy w/ & w/o PO vanco
 - Reduction in CDI recurrence (1% vs 37%; P=0.0001)
- King et al.
 - N=339; Hx of CDI and receiving broad-spectrum antibiotics; PO vanco and metronidazole
 - Absolute difference in rate of CDI: 1.8% (prophylaxis) vs.
 5.7% (control)
 - Not statistically significant

Concern: Further disruption of microbiome





CHI Memorial

Which of the following are key strategies to prevent the spread of C. difficile infection?

- A. Hand hygiene
- B. Environmental cleaning with a sporicidal agent
- C. Antimicrobial Stewardship
- D. All of the above



MG is a 55 y.o. F admitted to the hospital with abdominal pain, dysuria and self-reported history of diarrhea. Her vitals are WNL. MD has ordered labs, a CT of the abdomen and cultures (blood, urine, and stool). All labs are WNL, CT was negative, blood cultures were negative, and urinalysis was positive with a reflex to culture growing >100,000 CFUs of pan-sensitive E. coli. She was empirically started on rocephin which was

narrowed quickly to PO Bactrim. Her abdominal symptoms improved but she has not been stooling.

After a day of docusate and senna, a semi-formed stool sample was collected and sent to the lab by patient's nurse (Day 4). The PCR test resulted as C. difficile positive. MD calls you for a recommendation:

- A. D/C Bactrim, begin PO vancomycin 125mg PO q6h for 14 days
- B. D/C Bactrim, begin PO vancomycin 125mg PO q6h w/ a prolonged taper
- C. Continue Bactrim for a short 5 day course and do not start *C. diff* Tx
- D. Continue Bactrim for a short 5 day course and give PO vancomycin
 125mg PO q6h for 14 days



Which of the following is not a strategy to prevent C. difficile recurrence?

- A. PO vancomycin taper
- B. IVIG
- C. Fidaxomicin
- D. FMT



Thank You!